20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1		
2		
3		
4		
5		UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
6		NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
7		
8	SYNOPSYS, INC.,	No. C-03-2289 MJJ (EMC) No. C-03-4669 MJJ (EMC)
9	Plaintiff,	No. C-03-4009 MIJJ (EMC)
10	v.	ORDER RE JOINT REPORT TO THE COURT REGARDING POSSIBLE
11	RICOH CO., LTD.,	THOMAS DEPOSITION
12	Defendant.	
13	RICOH CO LTD	/
13 14	RICOH CO., LTD.,	
	Plaintiff,	
14	Plaintiff, v.	
14 15	Plaintiff, v. AEROFLEX, et al.,	
14 15 16	Plaintiff, v.	
14 15 16 17	Plaintiff, v. AEROFLEX, et al.,	

Having reviewed the joint report filed by the parties regarding the possible deposition of Dr. Thomas, and good cause appearing therefor, the Court hereby orders as follows.

The burden is on the ASIC Defendants and Synopsis (collectively "Defendants") to demonstrate their entitlement to take Dr. Thomas's deposition. As previously noted, Defendants have the burden of demonstrating that Dr. Thomas has unique or superior knowledge. In directing the parties to meet and confer to work out a procedure to facilitate such determination, including the possibility of an appointed neutral master, the Court did not prescribe or foreclose any particular procedure.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

The phased approach suggested by Defendants is not unreasonable in concept. By defining with reasonable precision the subject matters on which they would like to depose Dr. Thomas – and therefore on which the determination of unique or superior knowledge would be made – the parties and the Court can better assess what procedural mechanism might be appropriate (e.g., appointment of special master to investigate, production of declarations of Defendants' experts, limited deposition of Dr. Thomas, etc.). The Court will also have concrete facts on which to gauge the proper scope of any such inquiry, mindful of its earlier observation that privileged matters arising out of Dr. Thomas's engagement with Ricoh will be protected. Ricoh has failed to demonstrate why the proposed first phase of identification of topics by Defendants, in and of itself, will prejudice Ricoh. Nor has Ricoh demonstrated that giving the Defendants until June 11, 2004, to make that identification is prejudicial.

Accordingly, the Court orders that Defendants shall identify by June 11, 2004, in detail the subject matters on which they would like to depose Dr. Thomas and on which they will have to demonstrate his unique or superior knowledge, as well as any information they wish to present as to why these subjects may not be in the possession of other witnesses. The parties shall then meet and confer and attempt to negotiate a mechanism facilitate the Court's determination whether Dr. Thomas as such unique or superior knowledge. The parties shall be mindful of the need to protect privileged communications and work product against disclosure. Defendants are forewarned, however, that in meeting their burden of demonstrating their right to take Dr. Thomas's deposition, they may be required to chose between what they have characterized as the costly procedure of retaining a referee who can make the inquiry while preserving the parties' privileges or having to disclose the full extent of knowledge possessed by all those available to them, including their expert witnesses. Ricoh is cautioned that, so long as the areas of proposed inquiry are limited to prior art and not an evaluation (comparative or otherwise) of the patents in dispute, the risk of breaching any privilege is minimal.

24

///

///

25 ///

26

27

28

	Case 5.03-cv-02269-3vv Document 122 Filed 05/03/2004 Page 3 of 3
1	The parties shall report back to the Court by June 30, 2004 on the results of their meet and confer
2	by joint letter. If they cannot agree, the parties shall each propose a specific mechanism for determining
3	whether Dr. Thomas has unique or superior knowledge on particular subjects.
4	
5	IT IS SO ORDERED.
6	
7	Dated: May 3, 2004
8	EDWARD M. CHEN United States Magistrate Judge
9	Officed States Wagistrate Judge
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	